

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/010,132 | 12/06/2001 | Yuanlong Wang | MS-01CXT0161M | 4787 | |
| 7590 02/14/2005 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT/JACK J'MAEV | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | KNOLL, CLIFFORD H | | |
| 187 W. ORAN SUITE H | GETHORPE AVE. | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| PLACENTIA, CA 92870 | | | 2112 | | |
| | | · | DATE MAILED: 02/14/200 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/010,132 | WANG ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Clifford H Knoll | 2112 | | |

| | | Cililora 11 Kiloli | 2112 | |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this c | ommunication appe | ears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence ad | dress |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 January 2005 FAIL | LS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION | ON FOR ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final reject must timely file one of the following condition for allowance; (2) a Notice Examination (RCE) in compliance were considered. | replies: (1) an amend of Appeal (with appe ith 37 CFR 1.114. Th | Iment, affidavit, or other evi- eal fee) in compliance with 3 e reply must be filed within | dence, which places the app 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ | olication in est for Continued |
| a) The period for reply expires | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) to no event, however, will the statutory | period for reply expire I | ater than SIX MONTHS from the | ne mailing date of the final rejec | tion. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RE | JECTION. See MPEP 7 | 06.07(f). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 Chave been filed is the date for purposes of dete under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply recmay reduce any earned patent term adjustmen NOTICE OF APPEAL | ermining the period of ex e expiration date of the served by the Office later | tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for r r than three months after the m | amount of the fee. The approperly originally set in the final Of | riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as |
| The reply was filed after the date of was filed on A brief in comp Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any en has been filed, any reply must be file | liance with 37 CFR 4 dension thereof (37 C | 1.37 must be filed within two CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid disn | o months of the date of filing nissal of the appeal. Since a | the Notice of |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | | • |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed a (a) ☐ They raise new issues that wo | uld require further co | nsideration and/or search (| g a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered l see NOTE below); | because |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new m (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place appeal; and/or | | | erially reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims | | | nally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sh | | | | |
| The amendments are not in complia Applicant's reply has overcome the | | | Non-Compliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim | | | parata timaly filad amandu | ant annualina tha |
| non-allowable claim(s). | (3) Would be al | nowable il sublititted ili a se | parate, timely filed amendm | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the propose how the new or amended claims wo The status of the claim(s) is (or will to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | uld be rejected is pro | will not be entered, or be wided below or appended. |) 🗌 will be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration | on: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed a because applicant failed to provide a was not earlier presented. See 37 C | a showing of good and | it before or on the date of fil d sufficient reasons why the | ing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> e affidavit or other evidence | ot be entered is necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed a entered because the affidavit or othe showing a good and sufficient reaso | er evidence failed to d | vercome all rejections unde | er appeal and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is er | ntered. An explanation | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OT | | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has | s been considered bu | t does NOT place the appli | cation in condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disc | losure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) | Paper No(s) | |
| 13. 🗌 Other: | | SUPERIO | <u>MACK H.</u> Rinehart Risury patent examiner | |
| | | TECH | INIOI OGY CENTER 2100 | _ |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04) Continuation of 3. NOTE: "capable of supporting only one transfer at a time" raises new issues.